## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

DATE: December 21, 2012

JAMES F. METCALF United States Magistrate Judge

	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
J	ose Arturo Lopez-Martinez	Case Number: <u>12-02240M-001</u>	
present and wa	as represented by counsel. I conclude by a prer e defendant pending trial in this case.	a detention hearing was held on December 21, 2012. Defendant was conderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the	
I find by a prep	conderance of the evidence that:	NGS OF FACT	
$\boxtimes$	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.		
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law enfor	cement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	years imprisonment.	
The Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record.  CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
1.	There is a serious risk that the defendant wil		
2.		I reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.	
<b>-</b>		EGARDING DETENTION	
a corrections fa appeal. The do of the United S	acility separate, to the extent practicable, from pefendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportustates or on request of an attorney for the Gover	orney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in ersons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending unity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court roment, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the appearance in connection with a court proceeding.	
	APPEALS AND T	HIRD PARTY RELEASE	
		on order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to ial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District	
Services suffic		party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial strict Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	